٦.

PTC/SB/51 (02-01)

Approved of use through 01/31/2004. OMB 0551-0033

U.S. Palant and Tradamark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1895, no person	la sua nadmiso m	respond to a cons	1	Docket Number (Optional)	
REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATIO	N BY THE	INVENTOR	0469/12		
				-	
;			•		
As a below named inventor, I hereby de	clare that:			•	
As the second officer	onebin are st	ated below no	ext to my r	name.	
I believe I am the original, first and sole joint inventor (if plural names are listed	inventor (II (iniv one nam	e is listeu	DOLUMN OF WILL GUIZING! HIGH CO.	,
in patent number5,551,445	aranted	September	3, 1996	and for which a	
in patent number5,551,445 reissue patent is sought on the invention	n entitled AP	paratus and	Method 1	or Movement Corrdination	
the specification of which					
the specification of this of					
is attached hereto.		••	•		
x was filed on September 1, 199	as relssu	e application	number,	<u>09 / 145,255</u>	
(If a	applicable)		.:		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•	
i have reviewed and understand the c	ontents of th	e above iden	tified spec	ification, including the claims,	
on amonded by any amendment referr	ad to above.				
I acknowledge the duty to disclose info	ormation which	h is material	to patenta	bility as defined in	
37 CFR 1.56. I verily believe the original patent to b	a wholly or n	artly inoperat	ive or Inva	lid, for the reasons described	
below. (Check all boxes that apply.)	e wholly of P	alay moporat			
below. (One of all boxes and epp.y.)		•			
by reason of a defective specificat	ion or drawin	g. ,			
-		•			
X by reason of the patentee claimin	g more or les	ss than he ha	d the right	to claim in the patent.	
The same of allege arrays			••		
by reason of other errors.			ur If the t	oissue is a broadening	
At least one error upon which relssue reissue, such must be stated with an ex	is based is d	escribed bei	of the broad	denina:	
(See attached Statement of Lewis M.)	pianauon as t Nashner)	· ·	31 H10: 01,00		
(See attached Statement of Lewis 1911)	(4001111-2)				
			•		
ь.			7		
1					
	. •	•		•	
		, •	•		
	:	•			

[Page 1 of 2]

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.5 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/51 (02-01)

Approved for use through 01/81/2004. OMB 0851-0033

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Docket Number (Optional) (REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR, page 2) 0469/129 All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Registration Number Name(s) Elizabeth P. Morano Bruce D. Sunstein Robert M. Asher See Attachment Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the application to: 02101 Customer Number Type Customer Number here PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE Firm or Individual Name **Address** <u>Address</u> Zip State City Country Fax Telephone I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed. Full name of sole or first inventor (given pame, family name) Nashne _ewis_M Date inventor's sunatu Citizenship Full name of second joint inventor (given name, family name) Date Inventor's signature Citizenship Residence Malling Address Full name of third joint inventor (given name, family name) Date Inventor's signature Citizenship Residence Mailing Address Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

[Page 2 of 2]

Patent Number: 5,551,445
Issue Date: September 3, 1996

Invention Title: Apparatus and Method for Movement Corrdination Analysis

Attorney Docket Number: .0469/129

Reissue Application Declaration by the Inventor (PTO/SB/51) Registered Practitioner Information—Supplemental Sheet

Name	Registration Number	Name	Registration Number
Timothy M. Murphy	33,198		
Steven G. Saunders	36,265	; ;	
Samuel J. Petuchowski	37,910	:	
Jeffrey T. Klayman	39,250	·	
John J. Stickevers	39,387		
l Jay Sandvos	43,900	:	
Alexander J. Smolenski	47,953	•	
John L. Conway	48,241	•	
Morton Chirnomas	34,465	·	
	•		

Application Ser. No.: 09/145,255



STATEMENT OF LEWIS M. NASHNER

The patent claims less than I had a right to claim. I failed to appreciate that my invention was not previously claimed with sufficient scope.

I have developed numerous methods and products for diagnosing causes of balance disorders. I have obtained many United States patents, including U.S. Patent Nos. 4,738,269, 5,052,406, and 5,269,318, as well as the patent (U.S. Patent No. 5,551,445) upon which the present reissue application is based. Support for the various inventions may also be found in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 408,184, filed August 16, 1982, from which each of the above referenced patents claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and which is incorporated into the present application. The methods set forth in these patents diagnose balance disorders by providing false information to the soles of a subject's feet and, in some embodiments, to the subject's eyes. More generally, as mentioned in the specification of the present application, embodiments of the invention provide methods and apparatuses for removing somatosensory orientation inputs from the feet. One way this may be accomplished is by providing one or more support surfaces (such as a moveable force plate) that permit rotation of the subject's feet about an axis which is co-linear with at least one of the subject's ankles (as shown at 12 in Fig. 1 of the present application).

Prior to the time that I filed the present reissue application, I believed that the above referenced four patents would cover a method such as set forth in the attached article, Shumway-Cook, A. and Horak, F.B., "Assessing the Influence of Sensory Interaction on Balance/Suggestion from the Field," The Journal of American Physical Therapy Assn., Vol. 66, No. 10, October 1986. During the course of same year in which I filed the present reissue application, I learned that the method set forth in this article was being commercialized. An analysis of my patents, unfortunately, did not uncover any claim that would appear to literally cover the method set forth in the attached Shumway-Cook article, despite the fact that the method set forth in that article clearly used novel aspects of my invention. More specifically, the claim 8 of the present application requires the step of rotating about a horizontal axis on a continuous basis one support surface: In accordance with my invention, the purpose of this step is to cause rotation of the subject's feet about the horizontal axis (as shown, for example, in Fig. 4 of U.S. Patent No. 4,738,269). This may be accomplished by rotating the support surface itself or by configuring the support surface such that rotation of the subject's feet inherently occurs,

Application Ser. No.: 09/145,255

such as by providing a foam surface. The wording of claim 8 does not literally cover such an embodiment, although foam surfaces were known in the art. Therefore, I believe that in U.S. Patent No. 5,551,445, my invention was not fully and properly claimed to the extent to which I was entitled. New claims 10-15 more fully and properly claims my invention.

This error arose because the patent attorneys who prepared and prosecuted the application corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 5,551, 445 did not fully appreciate the scope of my invention and because I did not appreciate how limiting were the claims that issued. This error arose without any deceptive intent.

Respectfully submitted,

Lewis M. Nashner, Inventor